



**Public Review Response Form  
ANSI E1.8, Entertainment Technology—Loudspeaker  
Enclosures Intended for Overhead Suspension—  
Classification, Manufacture and Structural Testing**

**Referenced document:** ANSI E1.8 – 2018, Entertainment Technology—Loudspeaker Enclosures Intended for Overhead Suspension—Classification, Manufacture and Structural Testing (doc. number Rig/1997-2021r17)

**ANSI public review period:** 12 May through 26 June 2023  
(Earlier responses will be accepted. Later responses may not be considered as part of this review.)

**Question:** Do you recommend we reaffirm ANSI E1.8 as an American National Standard? Are its requirements reasonable and helpful? Please indicate "Yes," "Okay but needs improvement," or "Not acceptable."

**Yes**

**Okay but needs improvement**

Please record your suggestions for improvement on the next page in the field provided.

**Not acceptable**

What is wrong? Please explain on the next page what would need to be changed and how it would need to be changed to earn your unqualified "Yes." Give a justification for the change. Please provide text for inclusion in the standard that would resolve your concerns.

***I hereby grant ESTA the non-exclusive, royalty-free rights to use my comments, and I understand that I acquire no rights as a joint author in any publication in which my comments are used.***

Signature:			
Name:		Date:	
Company:			
Street Address:			
City:	Telephone:		
State/Prov:	Fax:		
Postal Code:	Country:		
Email address:			

Please return the completed public review response form with comments in electronic form to:

Technical Standards Managers  
standards@esta.org

Please also send a copy of the completed public review response form to:

Secretary  
Board of Standards Review  
American National Standards Institute  
25 West 43rd Street, 4th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
psa@ansi.org

Please record below any comments or objections to the standard. Please be as specific as possible and cite what text needs to be changed, how it needs to be changed, and why, if you are recommending that we change anything. Do not pose rhetorical questions that require the reader to infer what your objection is. The committee may decline to make a change to resolve an objection if it cannot determine what change would be needed to satisfy the commenter.