

**ESTA**

**E1.8-2018**  
**Entertainment Technology—Loudspeaker Enclosures Intended  
for Overhead Suspension—Classification, Manufacture and  
Structural Testing**

Approved by the ANSI Board of Standards Review on 29 November 2018

Rig/1997-2021r17

© 2018 Entertainment Services and Technology Association (ESTA)

**Notice and Disclaimer**

ESTA does not approve, inspect, or certify any installations, procedures, equipment or materials for compliance with codes, recommended practices or standards. Compliance with a ESTA standard or recommended practice is the sole and exclusive responsibility of the manufacturer or provider and is entirely within their control and discretion. Any markings, identification or other claims of compliance do not constitute certification or approval of any type or nature whatsoever by ESTA.

ESTA neither guarantees nor warrants the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein and disclaims liability for any personal injury, property or other damage or injury of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance on this document.

In issuing and distributing this document, ESTA does not either (a) undertake to render professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity, or (b) undertake any duty to any person or entity with respect to this document or its contents. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstance.

**Published by:**

The Entertainment Services and Technology Association (ESTA)  
630 Ninth Avenue, Suite 609  
New York, NY 10036  
USA  
Phone: 1-212-244-1505  
Fax: 1-212-244-1502  
Email: [standards@esta.org](mailto:standards@esta.org)

## The ESTA Technical Standards Program

**The ESTA Technical Standards Program** was created to serve the ESTA membership and the entertainment industry in technical standards related matters. The goal of the Program is to take a leading role regarding technology within the entertainment industry by creating recommended practices and standards, monitoring standards issues around the world on behalf of our members, and improving communications and safety within the industry. ESTA works closely with the technical standards efforts of other organizations within our industry, including CITT, DTHG, USITT, and VPLT, as well as representing the interests of ESTA members to ANSI, UL, and the NFPA. The Technical Standards Program is accredited by the American National Standards Institute.

**The Technical Standards Council (TSC)** was established to oversee and coordinate the Technical Standards Program. Made up of individuals experienced in standards-making work from throughout our industry, the Council approves all projects undertaken and assigns them to the appropriate working group. The Technical Standards Council employs a Technical Standards Manager and Assistant Technical Standards Manager to coordinate the work of the Council and its working groups as well as maintain a “Standards Watch” on behalf of members. Working groups include: Control Protocols, Electrical Power, Event Safety, Floors, Fog and Smoke, Followspot Position, Photometrics, Rigging, and Stage Machinery.

**ESTA encourages active participation in the Technical Standards Program.** There are several ways to become involved. If you would like to become a member of an existing working group, as have over four hundred people, you must complete an application which is available from the ESTA office. Your application is subject to approval by the working group and you will be required to actively participate in the work of the group. This includes responding to letter ballots and attending meetings. Membership in ESTA is not a requirement. You can also become involved by requesting that the TSC develop a standard or a recommended practice in an area of concern to you.

**The Rigging Working Group**, which authored this standard, consists of a cross section of entertainment industry professionals representing a diversity of interests. ESTA is committed to developing consensus-based standards and recommended practices in an open setting.

### Investors in Innovation

The Technical Standard Program (TSP) is financially supported by ESTA and by companies and individuals who make undirected donations to the TSP. Contributing companies and individuals who have helped fund the TSP are recognized as “Investors in Innovation”. The Investors in Innovation when this standard was approved by ANSI's Board of Standards Review include these companies and individuals:

#### VISIONARY LEADERS (\$50,000 & up)

ETC

ProSight Specialty Insurance

#### VISIONARY (\$10,000 & up; >100 employees/members)

Chauvet Professional

Martin by Harman

Cisco

Robe

Columbus McKinnon Entertainment Technology

Walt Disney Parks and Resorts

#### VISIONARY (\$5,000 & up; 20–100 employees/members)

Altman Lighting, Inc.

Rose Brand

German Light Products

Stage Rigging

JR Clancy

TMB

McLaren Engineering Group

Tyler Truss Systems, Inc.

#### VISIONARY (\$500 & up; <20 employees/members)

About the Stage

John T. McGraw

B-Hive Industries, Inc.

Mike Garl Consulting

Scott Blair

Mike Wood Consulting

Boston Illumination Group

Power Gems

Louis Bradfield

Reed Rigging

Candela Controls Inc.

Reliable Design Services

Clark Reder Engineering

Alan Rowe

Tracey Cosgrove &amp; Mark McKinney

David Saltiel

Doug Fleenor Design

Sapsis Rigging Inc.

EGI Event Production Services

Stageworks

Entertainment Project Services

Dana Taylor

Neil Huff

Steve Terry

Hughston Engineering Inc.

Theatre Projects

Interactive Technologies

Theatre Safety Programs

Lankey &amp; Limey Ltd.

Vertigo

Jules Lauve

Steve A. Walker &amp; Associates

Brian Lawlor

Westview Productions

Limelight Productions, Inc.

WNP Services

#### INVESTOR (\$3,000–\$9,999; >100 employees/members)

Actors' Equity Association

Lex

Barbizon Lighting Company

NAMM

Golden Sea Professional Equipment Limited

Rosco Laboratories

IATSE Local 728

Texas Scenic Company

IATSE Local 891

#### INVESTOR (\$1,500–\$4,999; 20–100 employees/members)

American Society of Theatre Consultants

Morpheus Lights

Area Four Industries

Nicon Inc.

BMI Supply

Syracuse Scenery and Stage Lighting

City Theatrical Inc.

Tomcat

InterAmerica Stage, Inc.

XSX Xtreme Structures and Fabrication

Lycian Stage Lighting

**INVESTOR** (\$200–\$499; <20 employees/members)

Benjamin Cohen  
 Bright Ideas Custom Electronics Inc.  
 Bruce Darden  
 Guangzhou Ming Jing lighting Equipment Co.  
 K5600, Inc.  
 Indianapolis Stage Sales & Rentals, Inc.

Robert Scales  
 Stephen Vanciel  
 Suga Koubou Co., Ltd.  
 VU-Industry Vision Technology  
 Xpro Light

**SUPPORTER** (<\$3,000; >100 employees/members)

Ian Foulds, IATSE Local 873  
 Harlequin Floors

Thern Stage Equipment  
 USAI Lighting

**SUPPORTER** (<\$1,500; 20–100 employees/members)

Geiger Engineers  
 H&H Specialties  
 High Output  
 InCord  
 iWeiss  
 Oasis Stage Werks

Stage Equipment & Lighting  
 Stagemaker  
 Thermotex Industries, Inc.  
 Vincent Lighting Systems  
 Zhuhai Shengchang Electronics Co.

**SUPPORTER** (<\$200; <20 employees/members)

Roy Bickel  
 Tony Giovannetti  
 Pat Grenfell  
 Mitch Hefter  
 John Huntington  
 Beverly and Tom Inglesby  
 Eddie Kramer  
 Jason Kyle

Michael Lay  
 Lizz Pittsley  
 Michael Skinner  
 Skjonberg Controls Inc.  
 Stage Labor of the Ozarks  
 Tracy Underhill  
 Charlie Weiner

**Planned Giving donor:** Ken Vannice

All donations to the Technical Standards Program support the TSP in general, and are not directed to, or for, the benefit of any particular technical standard project, or any Working Group working on any particular standard or project. If you would like to help support the Technical Standards Program in its work, please consider becoming an Investor in Innovation by visiting our website at <http://tsp.esta.org/invest> or by contacting the ESTA office at 1-212-244-1505 and selecting "TSP" from the menu.

**Contact Information****Technical Standards Manager**

Karl G. Ruling  
ESTA  
630 Ninth Avenue, Suite 609  
New York, NY 10036  
USA  
1-212-244-1505 x703  
[karl.ruling@esta.org](mailto:karl.ruling@esta.org)

**Assistant Technical Standards Manager**

Richard J. Nix  
ESTA  
630 Ninth Avenue, Suite 609  
New York, NY 10036  
USA  
1-212-244-1505 x649  
[richard.nix@esta.org](mailto:richard.nix@esta.org)

**Technical Standards Council Chairpersons**

Mike Garl  
Mike Garl Consulting LLC  
836 Smoke Creek Rd.  
Knoxville, TN 37934  
USA  
1-865-389-4371  
[mike@mikegarlconsulting.com](mailto:mike@mikegarlconsulting.com)

Mike Wood  
Mike Wood Consulting LLC  
6401 Clairmont Drive  
Austin, TX 78749  
USA  
1-512-288-4916  
[mike@mikewoodconsulting.com](mailto:mike@mikewoodconsulting.com)

**Rigging Working Group Chairpersons**

Bill Sapsis  
Sapsis Rigging, Inc.  
1-215-228-0888 x206  
[bill@sapsis-rigging.com](mailto:bill@sapsis-rigging.com)

Christine Kaiser  
Syracuse Scenery & Stage Lighting Co., Inc.  
1-315-453-8096  
[ckaiser@syracusescenery.com](mailto:ckaiser@syracusescenery.com)

**Acknowledgments**

The Rigging Working Group members, when this document was approved by the working group on 25 September 2018, are shown below.

**Voting members:**

Jesse Adams; Rose Brand; DR  
Tracie Allen; Tyler Truss Systems; CP  
Dana Bartholomew; Silver State Wire Rope and Rigging; DR  
William Beautyman; Limelight Productions, Inc.; DR  
Ian Bevan; Walt Disney Parks & Resorts; U  
Tyler Bland; Walt Disney Parks & Resorts; U  
William Bradburn; Aerial Arts, Inc.; U  
Bennett Brian; Reed Rigging Inc.; DR  
Joseph Champelli; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; DE  
Jay Cid; R&M Materials Handling / Stagemaker; MP  
Dan Culhane; Wenger Corp.; CP  
Bruce Darden; InterAmerica Stage, Inc.; CP  
Jonathan Deull; JSD Projects LLC; U  
Brad Dittmer; Stage Labor of the Ozarks; U  
Russ Dusek; I Weiss; MP  
Don Earl; Earl Girls, Inc.; DR  
Nick Fleming; Columbus McKinnon Corp.; MP  
Jack Gallagher; R&M Materials Handling / Stagemaker; MP  
Mike Garl; Mike Garl Consulting LLC; DE  
Sarah Gascoine; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
Ethan W Gilson; Entertainment Rigging Services, LLC; U  
William B. Gorlin; M.G. McLaren, P.C.; G  
Jerry Gorrell; Theatre Safety Programs; G  
Pat Grenfell; Hoist Sales & Service; DR  
Rod Haney; I.A.T.S.E. Local 891; U  
Tim Hansen; Oasis Stage Werks; DR  
Robert Haycock; UC Berkeley; U  
Jeremy Hodgson; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc.; U  
Donald Hoffend\_III; Avista Designs, LLC; G  
Joseph Jeremy; Show Distribution Group, Inc.; MP  
Ted Jones; Grand Stage; U  
Christine L. Kaiser; Syracuse Scenery & Stage Lighting Co., Inc.; DR  
Theresa Kelley; Xtreme Structures and Fabrication; CP  
Edwin S. Kramer; I.A.T.S.E. Local 1; U  
Kyle Kusmer; Steven Schaefer Associates; G  
Tom Lapp; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc.; U  
Kalen Larson; ZFX, Inc.; CP  
Roger Lattin; I.A.T.S.E. Local 728; U  
Jon Lenard; Applied Electronics; MP  
Michael Lichter; Electronic Theatre Controls, Inc.; MP  
Jacob E. Loretto; Musson Theatrical Inc.; DR  
Daniel H. Louis; Theta Consulting LLC; G  
Bill McIntyre; Show Distribution Group, Inc.; CP  
Sam Michael; Thern, Inc.; MP  
Steven Michelman; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; DE  
Orestes Mihaly; Production Resource Group; DR  
Rick Montgomery; Motion Laboratories, Inc.; MP  
John P. Moore; Vertigo; CP  
Chris Moulton; Contract Services Administration Trust Fund; U  
Bartholomew J. Mueller; Recreation Engineering Inc.; DE

Reid Neslage; H & H Specialties Inc.; MP  
 Mark Newlin; Xtreme Structures and Fabrication; MP  
 Jim Niesel; Theatre Projects Consultants, Inc.; DE  
 Richard J. Nix; Richard J. Nix; G  
 Shawn Nolan; Production Resource Group; DR  
 Tracy Nunnally; Vertigo; CP  
 Carlos Ortega; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Miriam Paschetto; Geiger Engineers; G  
 Rocky Paulson; Freeman Companies; DR  
 Demian Purdy; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Steven Ricks; Electronic Theatre Controls, Inc.; MP  
 John Ringelman; Freeman Companies; DR  
 Eric Rouse; Chicago Flyhouse; CP  
 Bill Sapsis; Sapsis Rigging, Inc.; U  
 Chris Schmidt; Freeman Companies; DR  
 Steven Serafin; Chubb Group of Insurance Companies; G  
 Harold Ike Shippers; Syracuse Scenery & Stage Lighting Co., Inc.; DR  
 Dave Sinkhorn; R&M Materials Handling / Stagemaker; MP  
 John C. Snook; Thermotex Industries Inc.; CP  
 Russell Solomon; Texas Scenic Company; DR  
 Peter V. Svitavsky; Wenger Corp.; CP  
 Jason Tello; Tyler Truss Systems; CP  
 Ken Tilson; Columbus McKinnon Corp.; MP  
 Will Todd; Area Four Industries; MP  
 Elmer Veith; Eurotruss; MP  
 Steve Walker; Steve A. Walker & Associates; G  
 Mike Webb; Motion Laboratories, Inc.; MP  
 Charlie Weiner; Eurotruss; CP  
 Michael Wells; Xtreme Structures and Fabrication; MP  
 Marty Wesstrom; Mountain Productions Inc.; DR  
 Jeff Wilkowski; Thern, Inc.; MP  
 R. Duane Wilson; Amer. Society of Theatre Consultants; DE  
 Max A. Wilson; Applied Electronics; MP  
 Stephan Jon Wood; Tait Towers Manufacturing LLC; CP  
 Paul Zagajeski; Wenger Corp.; CP

**Observer (non-voting) members:**

Jacob Abbott; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Matthew Antonucci; Contract Services Administration Trust Fund; U  
 Brent Armstrong; Alpine Rigging & Structural Design; CP  
 William Ian Auld; Auld Entertainment; U  
 Robert Barbagallo; Solotech Inc.; DR  
 Roger Barrett; Star Events Group Ltd.; DR  
 Roy Bickel; Roy Bickel; G  
 Keith Bohn; Keith Bohn; G  
 David Bond; Kinesys; MP  
 Louis Bradfield; Louis Bradfield; U  
 David M. Campbell; Geiger Engineers; G  
 Daniel J. Clark; Clark-Reder Engineering, Inc.; G  
 Benjamin Cohen; Chicago Flyhouse Inc.; CP  
 David Collette; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 David Darland; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Robert Dean; ZFX, Inc.; CP  
 Jim Digby; Event Safety Alliance; U  
 Tim Franklin; Theta Consulting LLC; G



James M. Garner; Walt Disney Studios; G  
Sanford P. Gilzow; Shur-Rig LLC; G  
Michael P. Gosenski; Rigging Systems LLC; U  
Pete Happe; Pete Happe; G  
Sean Harding; Port Lighting Systems; G  
Chris Higgs; Total Solutions Group; G  
Kent H. Jorgensen; IATSE Local 80; G  
Gary Justesen; Oasis Stage Werks; DR  
Jerald Kraft; JTH Lighting Alliance; CP  
Dan Lisowski; University of Wisconsin - Madison; U  
Ross Long; I.A.T.S.E. Local 891; G  
Wendy Manson; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc.; U  
Joseph McGeough; Foy Invenenterprises, Inc.; CP  
John Neal; John Neal; G  
Gregory Orth; WNP Services, Inc.; DR  
Kurt Pragman; Pragman Associates, LLC; G  
Mark Riddlesperger; LA ProPoint, Inc.; CP  
Tim Salamon; PSAV Presentation Services; DR  
Peter A. Scheu; Scheu Consulting Services, Inc.; DE  
Jason Schumacher; ZFX, Inc.; CP  
Ford Sellers; Chauvet Lighting; MP  
Steven C. Shaw; Levitating Productions, Inc.; MP  
Monica Skjonberg; Skjonberg Controls, Inc.; CP  
Knut Skjonberg; Skjonberg Controls, Inc.; CP  
Todd Spencer; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
Stewart Stephens; JSAV; DR  
Ross Taylor; Taylor & Taylor Associates; G  
James Tomlinson; Team Tomlinson; G  
Stephen Vanciel; IATSE Local 631; U  
Patrick Wallace; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
Drew Wending; PSAV Presentation Services; DR  
Mike Weston; PSAV Presentation Services; DR  
Greg Williams; PSAV Presentation Services; G  
Nicholas Gill Wright; I.A.T.S.E. Local 16; G  
Jeong Sik Yoo; Ghost LX; DE

**Interest category codes:**

CP = custom-market producer  
DR = dealer rental company  
MP = mass-market producer

DE = designer  
G = general interest  
U = user

## Contents

Investors in Innovation.....	iii
Contact Information.....	v
Acknowledgments.....	vi
Foreword.....	1
1 Scope.....	1
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Annex note references.....	1
2 Definitions.....	1
3 Reference to other codes and standards.....	2
4 Enclosure classification*.....	2
5 Manufacture.....	2
5.1 Enclosure construction.....	2
5.1.1 Mechanical.....	2
5.1.2 Enclosure design documentation.....	2
5.1.3 Enclosure WLL*.....	2
5.2 Component part security.....	2
5.2.1 Class A*.....	2
5.2.2 Class B and Class C*.....	3
5.2.3 Class D*.....	3
5.3 Enclosure suspension hardware.....	3
5.3.1 Design quality.....	3
5.3.2 Vibration*.....	3
5.3.3 Corrosion resistance.....	3
5.3.4 Strength.....	3
6 Quality control systems.....	4
6.1 Product identification marking.....	4
6.2 Enclosure traceability.....	4
6.3 Hardware traceability.....	4
6.3.1 Exceptions.....	4
6.4 Quality control.....	4
6.5 Quality assurance.....	4
6.6 Training program.....	4
6.7 Documentation revision policy.....	5
7 Testing.....	5
7.1 Test method.....	5
7.1.1 Complete testing.....	5
7.1.2 Test loads.....	5
7.1.3 Failure mode.....	5
7.1.4 Repeatability of test results.....	5
7.1.5 Alternative test methods.....	5
7.2 Test controls.....	5
7.2.1 Documentation of results.....	5
7.2.2 Test information.....	5
8 Manufacturer product representation.....	6
8.1 Rigging inquiry policy.....	6
8.2 Print or control documentation availability policy.....	6
9 Instructions for the installer and user.....	6
9.1 Enclosure suspension instructions.....	6
9.2 Maintenance and inspection instructions.....	6
9.3 Customer service.....	6
10 Annex notes (informative).....	6

An asterisk (\*) next to a clause number indicates there is a matching explanatory clause in Appendix A.

**Foreword**

The ANSI E1.8 project was initially conceived, developed and subsequently approved as an American National Standard to improve safety with respects to loudspeaker enclosures intended for overhead suspension.

This standard presents a coordinated set of rules that may serve as a guide to regulatory bodies, municipalities and others having jurisdiction responsibilities for inspection of the equipment covered by its scope. The suggestions leading to accident prevention are given both as mandatory and advisory provisions; compliance with both types may be required by employers of their employees.

Safety codes and standards are intended to enhance public safety. This revision of the original standard considers evolving changes in industry technology and acceptable practice occurring since the original standard's publication. Revisions do not imply that previous editions were inadequate. Compliance with this Standard does not itself confer immunity from legal obligations.

ESTA does not approve, inspect, or certify any installation, procedure, equipment or material. Compliance with this standard is voluntary. Product markings, identification or other claims of compliance do not constitute certification or approval whatsoever by ESTA.

ESTA neither guarantees nor warrants the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein and disclaim liability for any personal injury, property or other damage or injury of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance on this document.

Users of this document should rely on their independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a qualified person in determining reasonable care in any given circumstance.

ESTA does not warrant that standards contain no protected intellectual property or that they can be implemented without the use of protected intellectual property.

## 1 Scope

### 1.1 General

This standard covers the requirements for enclosures specifically intended for overhead suspension, but addresses only the structural characteristics of the enclosure pertaining to its suspension, such as enclosure construction, component part security, enclosure suspension hardware, manufacturing control systems, structural testing, and product representation.

### 1.2 Annex note references

This document uses annex notes to provide additional reference information about certain specific section requirements, concepts, or intent. Subject matter with a corresponding annex note reference is identified by the asterisk (\*) symbol, and the associated reference text is found in the Annex section, identified with the referring text section number – e.g. an Annex Note to section 3.2 will be identified in the annex section as 3.2.

## 2 Definitions

**2.1 competent person.** A person who is capable of identifying existing and predictable hazards in the workplace and who is authorized to take prompt corrective measures to eliminate them.

**2.2 component.** Parts of a whole.

**2.3 design factor.** A ratio between working load limit and the material strength, expressed as either yield point or failure point depending upon the context of use. Example: a design factor of 10 relative to ultimate failure means that the enclosure component or assembly has a design capacity of 10 times the working load limit.

**2.4 enclosure.** All parts of the loudspeaker system housing or assembly exclusive of the enclosure suspension hardware, electrical wiring, electrical components, acoustical radiating elements and any cover material intended to be user-removable.

**2.5 enclosure suspension hardware.** Suspension components permanently affixed to the enclosure by the manufacturer.

**2.6 manufacturer.** Person or company that fabricates enclosures.

**2.7 permanent.** Not temporary. Affixed and formed in a fashion intended to be functional for the lifetime of use.

**2.8 qualified person.** a person who, by possession of a recognized degree or certificate of professional standing, or who, by extensive knowledge, training, and experience, has successfully demonstrated the ability to solve or resolve problems relating to the subject matter and work.

**2.9 shall.** Denotes a mandatory requirement.

**2.10 should.** Denotes an advisory suggestion or recommendation; not mandatory.

**2.11 ultimate failure.** Stress causing fracture, rupture or other similar catastrophic failure of a component or material, generally designated as  $F_u$  in material properties information.

**2.12 ultimate strength.** The capacity to resist the maximum force that can be applied without ultimate failure occurring.

**2.13 user.** Person or company who assembles or uses enclosures.

**2.14 working load limit (WLL).** Maximum allowable static or equivalent load intended to be applied to an enclosure or a component of an enclosure; rated load.

### 3 Reference to other codes and standards

The following standards also shall be consulted when planning the design, manufacture, or testing of loudspeakers intended for overhead suspension.

ANSI/ASME B30.20-2010, *Below-the-Hook Lifting Devices - Safety Standard for Cableways, Cranes, Derricks, Hoists, Hooks, Jacks, and Slings*

ANSI/ASME B30.26-2015, *Rigging Hardware - Safety Standard for Cableways, Cranes, Derricks, Hoists, Hooks, Jacks, and Slings*

### 4 Enclosure classification\*

4.1 Enclosures weighing more than 0.0 kg (0 lbs) and less than or equal to 5 kg (11 lbs) shall be designated as Class A.

4.2 Enclosures weighing more than 5 kg (11 lbs) and less than or equal to 15 kg (33 lbs) shall be designated as Class B.

4.3 Enclosures weighing more than 15 kg (33 lbs) and less than or equal to 30 kg (66 lbs) shall be designated as Class C.

4.4 Enclosures weighing more than 30 kg (66 lbs) shall be designated as Class D.

### 5 Manufacture

#### 5.1 Enclosure construction

##### 5.1.1 Mechanical

Enclosures shall be constructed in accordance with recognized standards pertaining to the components, materials, connections and construction methods used. When available, known standards should be cited on the print and/or control documentation.

##### 5.1.2 Enclosure design documentation

Structural and mechanical strength aspects of enclosure designs shall be documented by the manufacturer.

##### 5.1.2.1 Components included or excluded

Documentation shall include materials, components, processes and methods used to construct the enclosure. Aesthetic aspects, electronic circuitry or electrically powered components are not required in the documentation unless such elements are integral to enclosure strength.

##### 5.1.3 Enclosure WLL\*

The enclosure manufacturer shall publish a WLL for enclosures covered by this standard. What constitutes the working load shall be determined by the manufacturer and explained in the documentation for the end-user. Enclosures shall be designed using a minimum design factor of 10, based on the ultimate strength of the enclosure as tested in accordance with this standard.

#### 5.2 Component part security

All enclosures containing component parts that can become loose or dislodged from the enclosure shall use installation methods to ensure component security to minimize this risk.

##### 5.2.1 Class A\*

Class A enclosures require no additional part security features.

**5.2.2 Class B and Class C\***

Class B and Class C enclosures requiring component part security shall have a barrier placed in front of component parts and affixed in a manner to minimize the risk of the barrier dislodging from the enclosure. This barrier shall function as a redundant safety measure should any of the enclosure component parts dislodge. Dislodged component parts shall not penetrate the barrier.

**5.2.3 Class D\***

Class D enclosure shall meet the component parts security requirements of Class C enclosures.

At least 50% of the component part and barrier fasteners shall be of sufficient length to extend through a structural section of the enclosure, and shall be installed in that manner.

**5.3 Enclosure suspension hardware**

Enclosure suspension hardware shall be constructed of materials that are appropriate for the intended use, as determined by a qualified person.

**5.3.1 Design quality**

Enclosure suspension hardware shall be designed by a qualified person in accordance with acceptable engineering practice, or shall be tested in accordance with Section 7.

**5.3.1.1 Design calculations or testing results**

Design calculations or testing results shall validate the suspension hardware strength, shall show that the suspension hardware meets design factor requirements and shall show that it is fit for the intended purpose.

**5.3.1.2 Repeatability**

The design calculations or testing results shall be repeatable for all material batches of individual components, where their respective material properties may vary for any reason due to component manufacturing processes.

**5.3.1.3 Tolerances**

The design calculations or testing results shall be repeatable within an acceptable tolerance as determined by a qualified person.

**5.3.1.4 Hardware connections**

Each hardware component shall be affixed to a minimum of one (1) structural surface of the enclosure. There shall be no less than two suspension points provided for any overhead suspension application. The hardware shall be affixed on the interior of the enclosure with the aid of a reinforcement device so as to prevent all reasonable and foreseeable failure of the enclosure material. The forces imposed on the enclosure shall not exceed the enclosure's design capacity. All orientations allowed by the manufacturer must be considered.

**5.3.2 Vibration\***

Enclosure suspension hardware utilizing threaded fasteners shall employ a method of resisting the tendency for a fastener to loosen.

**5.3.3 Corrosion resistance**

Enclosure suspension hardware shall be corrosion resistant when the foreseeable intended use criteria includes exposure to moisture or other similarly corrosive environments.

**5.3.4 Strength**

Enclosure suspension hardware shall be designed using a minimum design factor of 5, based on the ultimate strength of load-bearing structural components of the suspension hardware.

## 6 Quality control systems

### 6.1 Product identification marking

Product identification markings shall be permanently affixed to the exterior of the enclosure, and shall be visible under normal working conditions. The product identification marking system should have a minimum ten-year cycle, but shall have a cycle no less than the anticipated design lifecycle of the enclosure. Product identification markings shall include the following information:

The manufacturer's legal name, trade name and other descriptive marking by which the organization responsible for the product can be identified.

The manufacturer's primary location of business operation. If the manufacturer has more than one factory at which the same product is produced or assembled, each product should have a distinctive marking to identify the factory of origin.

A distinctive product number, catalog number or equivalent designation.

Serial numbers or batch numbers that are traceable to the manufacturer.

The WLL of the enclosure.

The self-weight of the enclosure.

Instructions shall contain the manufacturer's recommendations for enclosure suspension and an advisory for the consequences of misuse.

The enclosure classification as defined by this standard.

### 6.2 Enclosure traceability

Fabrication and quality assurance processes related to the enclosure's structural integrity and to its rated WLL should be documented as part of the enclosure product traceability system. The manufacturer should retain this information for the anticipated design lifecycle of the enclosure.

### 6.3 Hardware traceability

All structural load path components shall be traceable using unique serialization, batch or lot numbers.

#### 6.3.1 Exceptions

For structural load path components where serialization is not practical or feasible, and for raw materials, the manufacturer shall maintain material certifications of physical properties showing that the materials meet or exceed the minimum design criteria necessary to achieve the rated WLL. The manufacturer should retain this information for the intended design lifecycle of the enclosure.

### 6.4 Quality control

Enclosure manufacturers shall maintain a written quality control policy for all structural and mechanical strength aspects of enclosures within the scope of this standard. This policy should include procedures for inspection, documentation, product variance, and product approval.

### 6.5 Quality assurance

Enclosure manufacturers shall maintain a quality assurance check and sign off procedure for all products within the scope of this standard.

### 6.6 Training program

Enclosure manufacturers shall educate all staff involved with the design, manufacture, sale, and support of products within the scope of this standard on the procedures and policies surrounding these products. Training

should be performed no less than annually. Untrained staff shall not be permitted to perform work on products within the scope of this standard, unless directly supervised by a competent person.

### **6.7 Documentation revision policy**

Enclosure documentation shall be updated for every modification. Only the most current version shall be used for the manufacture of products. The documentation control identification shall be referenced in the enclosure traceability documentation. A written documentation revision control policy shall be maintained.

## **7 Testing**

Enclosure designs shall be destructively tested to determine an appropriate WLL.

### **7.1 Test method**

Test methods shall be designed by a qualified person. Any modification or revision to the enclosure structural design effecting a change in WLL shall require a new enclosure test.

#### **7.1.1 Complete testing**

The enclosure design shall be tested in each design load suspension orientation permitted by the manufacturer, using approved enclosure hardware attachment methods.

#### **7.1.2 Test loads**

The enclosure shall be tested with a method of gradually increasing load tension until material and/or component failure.

#### **7.1.3 Failure mode**

The test shall determine the ultimate strength value for the weakest structural suspension point in the enclosure. If multiple suspension points are used during the test, a reduction in the ultimate strength shall be incorporated to determine the ultimate strength value for a single suspension point. The reduction ratios will vary with material type and shall be determined through independent testing.

#### **7.1.4 Repeatability of test results**

Tests shall be repeated four (4) times for each design configuration and orientation.

##### **7.1.4.1 Variations in test results**

The variation of test results from the mean shall not exceed 10 percent. If the variation of any test result from the mean exceeds 10 percent, then the test shall be repeated not less than three (3) more times. The lowest ultimate strength in the test series shall be used as the ultimate strength value for design purposes.

#### **7.1.5 Alternative test methods**

Alternative testing methods shall be permitted provided that the intent of this section is met, with no exception.

## **7.2 Test controls**

A qualified person shall perform tests using properly calibrated and maintained test equipment.

### **7.2.1 Documentation of results**

A written performance report, photographs and/or video recording, and a load chart shall document the enclosure design test. The load chart shall indicate the material and/or component failure point. All test procedures and methods shall be written and included with the load chart.

### **7.2.2 Test information**

All documentation shall be clearly marked with the appropriate test name and date information.



## 8 Manufacturer product representation

### 8.1 Rigging inquiry policy

Enclosure manufacturers should maintain a written policy with regard to rigging hardware and overhead suspension product application inquiries. This policy should detail whom, how and under what circumstances loudspeaker manufacturer customer service personnel may offer advice regarding overhead suspension hardware and application.

### 8.2 Print or control documentation availability policy

Enclosure manufacturers should maintain a current print or control documentation library for products designed for overhead suspension. These prints or control documents should include dimensions, weights, hardware specifications, location of center of gravity, and all other information that may be required for the proper and safe suspension of the enclosure. This information should be made available in accordance with the manufacturer's print availability policy.

## 9 Instructions for the installer and user

The enclosure manufacturer shall provide instructions for installation, use, maintenance and inspection.

### 9.1 Enclosure suspension instructions

Instructions shall include manufacturer's suspension hardware attachment methods for suspending the enclosure in a manner that will not exceed the enclosure's WLL for supporting itself and for any load suspended from the enclosure, if the enclosure is designed for such an application. The load limit Instructions shall include a warning notice to inform the user of the dangers associated with the misuse of the product, for example, "Misuse of product may result in damage, injury, or death."

### 9.2 Maintenance and inspection instructions

Instructions shall include routine maintenance and inspection procedures.

### 9.3 Customer service

Enclosure manufacturers shall publish methods of contact for user inquiries about enclosure suspension.

## 10 Annex notes (informative)

**A.4** Enclosure classification considers that applications more likely to represent risk will also more likely require larger, heavier speaker enclosures. Semi-professional and professional sound reinforcement applications typically occur in larger venues, where the size of audience space requires the use of multiple enclosures connected together in an array configuration. Often, those arrays are configured in a manner where enclosures in the array are suspended from adjacent enclosures. Those types of configurations require special attention to enclosure structural integrity.

**A.5.1.3** The working load could be the enclosure itself and nothing more, or it could be the enclosure and a load suspended from it, if the enclosure is designed for that purpose.

**A.5.2.1** An example may be an enclosure with a front mounted woofer: in this example the front mounted woofer might be installed utilizing rear-mounted reinforced nuts on the inside of the enclosure with machine screw type fasteners installed with a friction aid such as thread lock adhesive to mount the woofer component.

**A.5.2.2** An example may be an enclosure with a front mounted horn and woofer: in this example the front mounted horn and woofer might be installed utilizing rear-mounted reinforced nuts on the inside of the enclosure with machine screw type fasteners installed with a friction aid such as thread lock adhesive to mount the horn and woofer components. Additionally, a metal grill might be installed in front of the horn and woofer to prevent the components and/or fasteners from falling should a component part loosen.

**A.5.2.3** Since Classifications are based on the weight of the enclosure, Class D enclosures will generally contain individual components that require structural attachment as part of the component part connection redundancy.

**A.5.3.2** Examples include but are not limited to thread lock adhesive, crimped nuts or nylon insert nuts, or nylon patch fasteners.

Review doc for reaffirmation