



Public Review Response Form ANSI E1.37-2 reaffirmation

Referenced document: ANSI E1.37-2 - 2015, Additional Message Sets for ANSI E1.20 (RDM) - Part 2, IPv4 & DNS Configuration Messages

ANSI public review period: 4 September through 19 October 2020
(Earlier responses will be accepted. Later responses may not be considered as part of this review.)

Question: Do you recommend that the standards committee reaffirm ANSI E1.37-2 - 2015, Additional Message Sets for ANSI E1.20 (RDM) - Part 2, IPv4 & DNS Configuration Messages, as an American National Standard, that its requirements are not too lax, too onerous, or too vague, nor that it would unreasonably negatively impact materially affected parties in the entertainment industry? Please indicate "Yes" (accept it), "Yes with comments," or "No with reasons" (don't accept it).

Yes

**Yes with
comments**

Please record your comments on the next page in the field provided.

**No with
reasons**

What is wrong? Please explain on the next page what would need to be changed and how it would need to be changed to earn your unqualified "Yes." Give a justification for the change. Please provide text for inclusion in the standard that would resolve your concerns.

I hereby grant ESTA the non-exclusive, royalty-free rights to use my comments, and I understand that I acquire no rights as a joint author in any publication in which my comments are used.

Signature:			
Name:		Date:	
Company:			
Street Address:			
City:	Telephone:		
State/Prov:	Fax:		
Postal Code:	Country:		
Email address:			

Please return the completed Public Review Response Form with comments in electronic form to:

Technical Standards Managers
ESTA
271 Cadman Plaza
P.O. Box 23200
Brooklyn, NY 11202-3200
standards@esta.org

Please also send a copy of the completed Public Review Response Form to:

Secretary
Board of Standards Review
American National Standards Institute
25 West 43rd Street
4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
psa@ansi.org

Please record below any comments or objections to the standard. Please be as specific as possible and cite what text needs to be changed, how it needs to be changed, and why, if you are recommending that we change anything. Do not pose rhetorical questions that require the reader to infer what your objection is. The committee may decline to make a change to resolve an objection if it cannot determine what change would be needed to satisfy the commenter. **DO NOT RETURN A MARKED UP COPY OF THE STANDARD.**