



ESTA Public Review Response Form

BSR E1.2, Entertainment Technology — Design, Manufacture and Use of Aluminum Trusses and Towers

Referenced document: BSR E1.2, Entertainment Technology — Design, Manufacture and Use of Aluminum Trusses and Towers (document number Rig/2019-2022r2)

ANSI public review period: 30 October through 14 December 2020 (Earlier responses will be accepted. Later responses may not be considered as part of this review.)

Question: Do you think BSR E1.2, Entertainment Technology — Design, Manufacture and Use of Aluminum Trusses and Towers, offers reasonable guidance and therefore should be accepted as an American National Standard? Please indicate "Yes," "Yes with comments," or "No with reasons."

Yes

Yes with comments

Please record your comments on the next page in the field provided.

No with reasons

What is wrong? Please explain on the next page what would need to be changed and how it would need to be changed to earn your unqualified "Yes." Give a justification for the change. If possible, provide text you would like to see in the standard to resolve your concerns.

I hereby grant ESTA the non-exclusive, royalty-free rights to use my comments, and I understand that I acquire no rights as a joint author in any publication in which my comments are used.

Signature and date:			
Name:			
Company:			
Street Address:			
City:			
State/Prov:	Telephone:		
Postal Code:	Country:		
Email:			

Please return the completed Public Review Response Form with comments in electronic form to

ESTA Technical Standards Managers
standards@esta.org
 ESTA
 P.O. Box 23200
 Brooklyn, NY 11202-3200

Please also send a copy of the completed Public Review Response Form to:

Secretary
 Board of Standards Review
 American National Standards Institute
 25 West 43rd Street
 4th Floor
 New York, NY 10036
 Fax: 1-212-840-2298
psa@ansi.org

Please record below any comments or objections to the standard. If you are objecting, please be as specific as possible and cite what text needs to be changed, how, and why. Do not offer a rhetorical question as justification for an objection. Cite text, but **do not** return a marked-up copy of the draft standard. There may be no resolution to an objection if it is not possible to understand what would eliminate the objection.