



Reaffirmation of ANSI E1.29 – 2009 (R2018) Public Review Response Form

Referenced document: ANSI E1.29-2009 (R2018), Product Safety Standard for Theatrical Fog Generators That Create Aerosols of Water, Aqueous Solutions of Glycol or Glycerin, or Highly Refined Alkane Mineral Oil (document number F&S/2007-3004r6)

Public review period: 27 October through 11 December 2023
(Earlier responses will be accepted. Later responses may not be considered as part of this review.)

Question: Do you think ANSI E1.29-2009 (R2018) is good as an American National Standard, that its requirements are neither inadequate nor excessive for assuring a reasonably safe product? Please indicate "Good," "Good but could be better," or "Bad."

Good

**Good, but
could be
better.**

Please record your comments on the next page in the field provided.

Bad

What is wrong? Please explain on the next page what would need to be changed and how it would need to be changed to earn "Good." Give a justification for the change. If possible, please provide text you would like to see in the standard to resolve your concerns.

I hereby grant ESTA the non-exclusive, royalty-free rights to use my comments, and I understand that I acquire no rights as a joint author in any publication in which my comments are used.

Signature:			
Name:		Date:	
Company:			
Street Address:			
City:	Telephone:		
State/Prov:	Fax:		
Postal Code:	Country:		
Email:			

Please return the completed Public Review Response Form with comments in electronic form to:

ESTA Technical Standards Managers
standards@esta.org

You should receive a reply receipt within a week of sending your comments. If you do not, please enquire.

Please also send a copy of the completed Public Review Response Form to:

Secretary
Board of Standards Review
American National Standards Institute
25 West 43rd Street
4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
psa@ansi.org

Please record below any comments or objections to the standard. Please be as specific as possible and cite what text needs to be changed, how it needs to be changed, and why, if you are recommending that we make changes. Do not pose rhetorical questions that require the reader to infer your objection. The committee may make no change to resolve an objection if it cannot determine what change would satisfy the commenter.