



BSR E1.4-2, Statically Suspended Rigging Systems

Public Review Response Form

Referenced document: BSR E1.4-2, Entertainment Technology - Statically Suspended Rigging Systems (document number Rig/2015-2023r4f)

ANSI Public review period: 03 May through 17 June, 2019.
(Earlier responses will be accepted. Later responses may not be considered as part of this review.)

Question: BSR E1.4-2, Entertainment Technology - Statically Suspended Rigging Systems (document number Rig/2015-2023r4f), is being offered for its second public review. Do you feel that the requirements in it are adequate and reasonable, and therefore recommend its acceptance as an American National Standard?

Please indicate "Yes" (accept it), "Yes with comments," or "No with reasons" (don't accept it).

Yes

Yes, with comments

Please record your comments on the next page in the field provided.

No, with reasons

Please explain on the next page what would need to be changed and how it would need to be changed to earn your unqualified "Yes." Give a justification for the change. Please provide text for inclusion in the standard that would resolve your concerns.

I hereby grant ESTA the non-exclusive, royalty-free rights to use my comments, and I understand that I acquire no rights as a joint author in any publication in which my comments are used.

Signature:			
Name:		Date:	
Company:			
Street Address:			
City:	Telephone:		
State/Prov:	Fax:		
Postal Code:	Email:		
Country:			

Please return the completed Public Review Response Form with comments in electronic form to:

Richard J. Nix
Asst Technical Standards Manager
ESTA
630 Ninth Avenue, Suite 609
New York, NY 10036
standards@esta.org

Please also send a copy of the completed Public Review Response Form to:

Secretary
Board of Standards Review
American National Standards Institute
25 West 43rd Street
4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
psa@ansi.org

You should receive a receipt from ESTA within a week of sending your comments. If you don't, please enquire.

Please record below any comments or objections to the draft standard. Please be as specific as possible and cite what text needs to be changed, how it needs to be changed, and why, if you are recommending that we make changes. Do not pose rhetorical questions that require the reader to infer your objection. The committee may decline to make a change to resolve an objection if it cannot determine what change would be needed to satisfy the commenter. Mark-up copies of the draft standard will not be accepted.