



Public Review Response Form

Revision of ANSI E1.23, Entertainment Technology— Design, Execution, and Maintenance of Atmospheric Effects

Referenced document: BSR E1.23, Entertainment Technology—Design, Execution, and Maintenance of Atmospheric Effects (document number F&S/2002-3014r22)

ANSI public review period: 11 August through 25 September 2023
(Earlier responses will be accepted. Later responses may not be considered as part of this review.)

Question: E1.23 is being revised to add a requirement for a short safety summary and to offer advice about ventilation and carbon dioxide fog-blast effects. Is the revised document reasonable? Does it offer adequate advice, or does it need to be changed? Please click the appropriate radio button.

It's okay.

It would be better with some changes

It is seriously defective or incomplete.

Please record your suggestions on the next page in the field provided.

What is wrong? Please explain on the next page what would need to be changed and how it would need to be changed to fix the problem you have identified. Please provide text for inclusion in the standard that would resolve your concerns.

I hereby grant ESTA the non-exclusive, royalty-free rights to use my comments, and I understand that I acquire no rights as a joint author in any publication in which my comments are used.

Signature:			
Name:		Date:	
Company:			
Street Address:			
City:	Telephone:		
State/Prov:	Fax:		
Postal Code:	Country:		
Email address:			

Please return the completed Public Review Response Form with comments in electronic form to:

ESTA Technical Standards Managers
P.O. Box 23200
Brooklyn, NY 11202-3200
standards@esta.org

Please also send a copy of the completed Public Review Response Form to:

Secretary, Board of Standards Review
American National Standards Institute
25 West 43rd Street
4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
psa@ansi.org

Please record below any suggested additions or corrections to the standard. Please be as specific as possible and cite what text needs to be changed, how it needs to be changed, and why, if you are recommending that we change anything. Do not pose rhetorical questions that require the reader to infer what your objection or suggestion is. The committee may decline to make a change if it cannot determine what change would be needed. Do not return a marked-up copy of the standard.