



BSR ES1.43, Event Safety - Material Handling

Second Public Review Response Form

Referenced document: BSR ES1.43, *Event Safety - Material Handling* (Document number ES/2024-20017r2a)

ANSI Public review period: Begins on 17 April 2026 and ends on 01 June 2026

Question: In your opinion, do you think the requirements of BSR ES1.43, *Event Safety - Material Handling* (Document number ES/2024-20017r2a) are reasonable to adequately address the intended subject matter?

Please answer the question using one of the options below. Select “Yes”, “Yes, but...” (provide comments to support your opinion), or “No, with reasons” (the document’s requirements are unacceptable or unreasonable). Note that you are offering your opinion, which is in no way construed as a vote of acceptance or approval.

Yes

Yes, but... Please record your comments in the field provided on the next page

No, with reasons Please explain on the next page what would need to be changed and how it would need to be changed to earn your unqualified “Yes”. Give a justification for the change, and provide text for inclusion in the standard that would resolve your concerns.

I hereby grant ESTA the non-exclusive, royalty-free rights to use my comments, and I understand that I acquire no rights as a joint author in any publication in which my comments are used.

Signature:			
Name:		Date:	
Company:			
Street Address:			
City:		Telephone:	
State/Province:		Fax:	
Postal Code:		Email:	
Country:			

Please return the completed Public Review Response Form with comments in electronic format to:

Richard J. Nix
Technical Standards Manager
ESTA
271 Cadman Plaza; PO Box 23200
Brooklyn, NY 11202-3200
standards@esta.org

Please also send a copy of the completed Public Review Response form to:

Secretary
Board of Standards Review
American National Standards Institute
25 West 43rd Street, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
psa@ansi.org

You should receive an acknowledgment from ESTA within 7 days of sending your comments. If you don't, please inquire.

Please record below any comments or objections to the draft standard. Please be as specific as possible - if you recommend making changes, cite what text needs to change, how it needs to change and why. Do not pose rhetorical questions that require the reader to infer your objection. The committee may decline to make a change to resolve an objection if it cannot determine what change would be needed to satisfy the commenter. *Mark-up versions of the draft standard will not be accepted.*

