



DRAFT for Reaffirmation review

**ANSI E1.4-3 – 2020**  
**Entertainment Technology—Manually Operated Hoist Rigging Systems**

Approved by the ANSI Board of Standards Review as an American National Standard on 02 June 2020

Rig/2015-2022r12

© 2020 Entertainment Services and Technology Association (ESTA)  
All rights reserved.

---

**Notice and Disclaimer**

ESTA does not approve, inspect, or certify any installations, procedures, equipment or materials for compliance with codes, recommended practices or standards. Compliance with an ESTA standard or recommended practice is the sole and exclusive responsibility of the manufacturer or provider and is entirely within their control and discretion. Any markings, identification or other claims of compliance do not constitute certification or approval of any type or nature whatsoever by ESTA.

ESTA neither guaranties nor warrants the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein and disclaim liability for any personal injury, property or other damage or injury of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance on this document.

In issuing and distributing this document, ESTA does not either (a) undertake to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, or (b) undertake any duty to any person or entity with respect to this document or its contents. Anyone using this document should rely on their own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstance.

**Published By:**

Entertainment Services and Technology Association (ESTA)  
630 Ninth Ave., Suite 609  
New York, NY 10036  
USA  
Phone: +1-212-244-1505  
Fax: +1-212-244-1502  
Email: [standards@esta.org](mailto:standards@esta.org)

---

**The Entertainment Services and Technology Association's  
Technical Standards Program**

**The ESTA Technical Standards Program** was created to serve the ESTA membership and the entertainment industry in technical standards related matters. The goal of the program is to take a leading role regarding technology within the entertainment industry by creating recommended practices and standards, monitoring standards issues around the world on benefit of our members, and improving communications and safety within the industry. ESTA works closely with the technical standards efforts of other organizations within our industry including USITT and VPLT as well as representing the interests of ESTA members to ANSI, UL and the NFPA. The Technical Standards Program is accredited by the American National Standards Institute.

**The Technical Standards Council (TSC)** established by ESTA's Board of Directors to oversee and coordinate the Technical Standards Program. Made up of individuals experienced in standards making work from throughout our industry, the Committee approves all projects undertaken and assigns them to the appropriate working group. The Technical Standards Council employs a Technical Standards Manager to coordinate the work of the Committee and its working groups as well as maintaining a "Standards Watch" on behalf of members. Working groups include: Control Protocols, Electrical Power, Event Safety, Floors, Fog and Smoke, Followspot Positions, Photometrics, Rigging, and Stage Machinery.

**ESTA encourages active participation in the Technical Standards Program.** There are several ways to become involved. If you would like to become a member of an existing working group, as have over two hundred people, you must complete an application which is available from the ESTA website. Your application is subject to approval by the working group and you will be required to actively participate in the work of the group. This includes responding to letter ballots and attending meetings. Membership in ESTA or any other organization is not a requirement for participation in the TSP. You can also become involved by requesting that the TSC develop a standard or a recommended practice in an area of concern to you.

**The Working Group**, which authored this standard, consists of a cross section of entertainment industry professionals representing a diversity of interests. ESTA is committed to developing consensus-based standards and recommended practices in an open setting.

**Investors in Innovation**

The Technical Standard Program (TSP) is financially supported by ESTA and by companies and individuals who make undirected donations to the TSP. Contributing companies and individuals who have helped fund the TSP are recognized as “Investors in Innovation”. The Investors in Innovation when this standard was approved by ANSI's Board of Standards Review include these companies and individuals:

**VISIONARY LEADERS** (\$50,000 & up)

ETC

PLASA

ProSight Specialty Insurance

**VISIONARY** (\$10,000 & up; >100 employees/members)

Chauvet Professional

Cisco

Columbus McKinnon Entertainment Technology

Robe

Disney Parks Live Entertainment

**VISIONARY** (\$5,000 & up; 20–100 employees/members)

Altman Lighting, Inc.

German Light Products

JR Clancy

McLaren Engineering Group

Rose Brand

Stage Rigging

Theatre Projects

Theatre Safety Programs

TMB

**VISIONARY** (\$500 & up; <20 employees/members)

About the Stage

B-Hive Industries, Inc.

Scott Blair

Boston Illumination Group

Candela Controls, Inc.

Clark Reder Engineering

Tracey Cosgrove &amp; Mark McKinney

Doug Fleenor Design

EGI Event Production Services

Entertainment Project Services

Neil Huff

Interactive Technologies

Jules Lauve

Brian Lawlor

Michael Lay

Limelight Productions, Inc.

Link

John T. McGraw

Mike Garl Consulting

Mike Wood Consulting

Reed Rigging

Reliable Design Services

Alan Rowe

Sapsis Rigging Inc.

Dana Taylor

Steve Terry

Vertigo

Steve A. Walker &amp; Associates

WNP Services

**INVESTOR** (\$3,000–\$9,999; >100 employees/members)

Actors' Equity Association

Barbizon Lighting Company

Golden Sea Professional Lighting Provider

IATSE Local 728

IATSE Local 891

Lex

NAMM

Rosco Laboratories

Texas Scenic Company

**INVESTOR** (\$1,500–\$4,999; 20–100 employees/members)

American Society of Theatre Consultants

BMI Supply

City Theatrical Inc.

H&amp;H Specialties, Inc.

InterAmerica Stage, Inc.

Lycian Stage Lighting

Morpheus Lights

Niscon Inc.

**INVESTOR** (\$200–\$499; <20 employees/members)

Bruce Darden  
**Guangzhou Color Imagination LED Lighting**  
 Kenney Drapery Associates, Inc.  
 Indianapolis Stage Sales & Rentals, Inc.  
 L1 Inc.  
 Lighting Infusion LLC

Scott Madaski  
 Mediam Sp. zo.o.  
 Nanyi Audio & Lighting Enterprise Co., Ltd.  
 Qdot Lighting Ltd.  
 Show Light Oy

**SUPPORTER** (\$50 - \$2,999; >100 employees/members)

Harlequin Floors

**SUPPORTER** (\$50 - \$1,499; 20–100 employees/members)

ACT Lighting Inc./AC Power Distribution  
 ARM Automation, Inc.  
 Ian Foulds, IATSE Local 873  
 General Lighting Electronic Co. Ltd.  
 Guangzhou YaFeng Optoelectronic Equipment Co.  
 Guangzhou Yilaiming Photoelectric Technology Co.,  
 Ltd.  
 HAYA Light Equipment Ltd. Co.  
 High Output  
 InCord  
 Intella Systems Co., Ltd.  
 iWeiss  
 LA ProPoint, Inc.  
 Moss LED Inc.

Movecat GmbH  
 Nanshi Lighting  
 Oasis Stage Werks  
 Shenzhen Ifountain Technology  
 Skjonberg Controls Inc.  
 Stage Equipment & Lighting  
 Stagemaker  
 Stageworks  
 Syracuse Scenery and Stage Lighting Co., Inc.  
 Taurus Light Co. Ltd.  
 Ultratec Special Effects  
 Vincent Lighting Systems  
 Zhuhai Shengchang Electronics Co.

**SUPPORTER** (\$50 - \$199; <20 employees/members)

Capture Visualisation AB  
 Clik Systems  
 DMX Pro Sales  
 Foshan Leiyuan Photoelectric Co. Ltd.  
 Jack Gallagher  
 Tony Giovannetti  
 Pat Grenfell  
 Beverly and Tom Inglesby  
 Eddie Kramer  
 Jason Kyle

LuxBalance Lighting  
 Tyrone Mellon, Jr.  
 Orange Pi DMX  
 Lizz Pittsley  
 Michael Skinner  
 Studio T+L  
 Terrier Marketing  
 Stephen Vanciel  
 Arjan van Vught

**Planned Giving donor:** Ken Vannice

All donations to the Technical Standards Program support the TSP in general, and are not directed to, or for, the benefit of any particular technical standard project, or any Working Group working on any particular standard or project.

**Contact Information**

**Technical Standards Manager**

Karl G. Ruling  
ESTA  
630 Ninth Avenue, Suite 609  
New York, NY 10036  
USA  
+1-212-244-1505  
karl.ruling@esta.org

**Assistant Technical Standards Manager**

Richard J. Nix  
ESTA  
630 Ninth Avenue, Suite 609  
New York, NY 10036  
USA  
+1-212-244-1505  
richard.nix@esta.org

**Technical Standards Council Chairpersons**

Mike Garl  
Mike Garl Consulting LLC  
+1-865-389-4371  
mike@mikegarlconsulting.com

Mike Wood  
Mike Wood Consulting LLC  
+1-512-288-4916  
mike@mikewoodconsulting.com

**Rigging Working Group Co-chairpersons**

Bill Sapsis  
Sapsis Rigging  
+1-215-228-0888 x206  
bill@sapsis-rigging.com

Christine Kaiser  
Syracuse Scenery & Stage Lighting Co., Inc  
+1-315-453-8096  
ckaiser@syracusescenery.com

**Acknowledgments**

The Rigging Working Group members, when this document was approved by the working group on 25 February 2020, are shown below.

**Voting members:**

Jacob Abbott; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Jesse Adams; Rose Brand Wipers, Inc; DR  
 Tracie Allen; T6 Truss Designs. LLC; CP  
 Dana Bartholomew; Silver State Wire Rope and Rigging; DR  
 Peter W. Batt; Mainstage Theatrical Supply, Inc; DR  
 Drew Becker; InterAmerica Stage, Inc.; CP  
 Ian Bevan; Walt Disney Company; DE  
 Keith Bohn; Area Four Industries; MP  
 David Bond; Tait Towers Manufacturing LLC; CP  
 Bennett Brian; Reed Rigging Inc.; DR  
 Paul Brunner; Thern, Inc.; MP  
 David Carmack; Columbus McKinnon Corp.; MP  
 Frederic Caron; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc; U  
 Joseph Champelli; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; CP  
 Lee Chuong; Walt Disney Company; DE  
 Jay Cid; R&M Materials Handling / Stagemaker; MP  
 Dan Culhane; Wenger Corp.; CP  
 Bruce Darden; InterAmerica Stage, Inc.; CP  
 Jonathan Deull; JSD Projects LLC; U  
 Tony Diemont; Texas Scenic Company; DR  
 Don Earl; Earl Girls, Inc.; DR  
 Nick Fleming; Columbus McKinnon Corp.; MP  
 Joshua "Fritz" Friedensohn; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; CP  
 Mike Garl; Mike Garl Consulting LLC; DE  
 Ethan W Gilson; Entertainment Rigging Services, LLC; U  
 Sanford P. Gilzow; Shur-Rig LLC; G  
 William B. Gorlin; M.G. McLaren, P.C.; G  
 Jerry Gorrell; Theatre Safety Programs; G  
 Pat Grenfell; Hoist Sales & Service; DR  
 Rod Haney; I.A.T.S.E. Local 891; U  
 Tim Hansen; Oasis Stage Werks; DR  
 Robert Haycock; UC Berkeley; U  
 Jeremy Hodgson; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc.; U  
 Joseph Jeremy; Show Distribution Group, Inc.; CP  
 Christine L. Kaiser; Syracuse Scenery & Stage Lighting Co., Inc.; DR  
 Theresa Kelley; Xtreme Structures and Fabrication; MP  
 Edwin S. Kramer; I.A.T.S.E. Local 1; U  
 Ryan Kunkel; Tait Towers Manufacturing LLC; CP  
 Kyle Kusmer; Steven Schaefer Associates, Inc; DE  
 Tom Lapp; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc.; U  
 Kalen Larson; ZFX, Inc.; CP  
 Ed Leahy; Chicago Flyhouse, Inc; CP  
 Jon Lenard; Applied Electronics; MP  
 Michael Lichter; Electronic Theatre Controls, Inc.; MP  
 Ross Long; I.A.T.S.E. Local 891; U  
 Daniel H. Louis; Theta Consulting LLC; G  
 Bill McIntyre; Show Distribution Group, Inc.; CP  
 Mike Merz; Steven Schaefer Associates, Inc; DE  
 Sam Michael; Thern, Inc.; MP  
 Orestes Mihaly; Production Resource Group; DR  
 Rick Montgomery; Motion Laboratories, Inc.; MP

John P. Moore; Vertigo; CP  
 Chris Moulton; Contract Services Administration Trust Fund; U  
 Bartholomew J. Mueller; Recreation Engineering Inc.; DE  
 Reid Neslage; H & H Specialties Inc.; MP  
 Mark Newlin; Xtreme Structures and Fabrication; MP  
 Jim Niesel; Theatre Projects Consultants, Inc.; DE  
 Richard J. Nix; Richard J. Nix; G  
 Shawn Nolan; Production Resource Group; DR  
 Tracy Nunnally; Vertigo; CP  
 Carlos Ortega; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Matt Panther; Reliable Design Services; CP  
 Miriam Paschetto; Geiger Engineers; DE  
 Demian Purdy; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Steven Ricks; Electronic Theatre Controls, Inc.; MP  
 John Ringelman; Freeman Companies; DR  
 Ryan Riordan; Accurate Staging, Inc.; CP  
 Ryan Roby; PRG; DR  
 Eric Rouse; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; CP  
 Bill Sapsis; Sapsis Rigging, Inc.; U  
 Chris Schmidt; Freeman Companies; DR  
 Steven Serafin; The Hartford Group; G  
 Michael Shaw; Motion Laboratories, Inc.; MP  
 Harold Ike Shippers; Syracuse Scenery & Stage Lighting Co., Inc.; DR  
 Mike Skinner; Contract Services Administration Trust Fund; U  
 Russell Solomon; Texas Scenic Company; DR  
 Stewart Stephens; Ashford Management Group; DR  
 Peter V. Svitavsky; Wenger Corp.; CP  
 Jason Tello; T6 Truss Designs. LLC; CP  
 Ken Tilson; Columbus McKinnon Corp.; MP  
 Will Todd; Area Four Industries; MP  
 Elmer Veith; Reliable Design Services; CP  
 Steve Walker; Steve A. Walker & Associates; G  
 Mike Webb; Motion Laboratories, Inc.; MP  
 Michael Wells; Xtreme Structures and Fabrication; MP  
 Marty Wesstrom; Mountain Productions Inc.; DR  
 Jeff Wilkowski; Thern, Inc.; MP  
 R. Duane Wilson; Amer. Society of Theatre Consultants; DE  
 Max A. Wilson; Applied Electronics; MP  
 Allen Winzler; Clark-Reder Engineering, Inc.; DE  
 Stephan Jon Wood; Tait Towers Manufacturing LLC; CP  
 Paul Zagajeski; Wenger Corp.; CP

**Observer (non-voting) members:**

Matthew Antonucci; Contract Services Administration Trust Fund; U  
 Brent Armstrong; Alpine Rigging & Structural Design; CP  
 William Ian Auld; Auld Entertainment; U  
 Robert Barbagallo; Solotech Inc.; DR  
 William Beautyman; Limelight Productions, Inc.; DR  
 Justin Bennett; University of the Incarnate Word; U  
 Roy Bickel; Roy Bickel; G  
 Tyler Bland; Walt Disney Company; U  
 William Bradburn; Aerial Arts, Inc.; U  
 David M. Campbell; Geiger Engineers; G  
 Daniel J. Clark; Clark-Reder Engineering, Inc.; G  
 Benjamin Cohen; Chicago Flyhouse Inc.; CP  
 Brandon Cox; Heavyweight Solutions; CP



David Darland; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Robert Dean; ZFX, Inc.; CP  
 Jim Digby; Event Safety Alliance; U  
 Brad Dittmer; Stage Labor of the Ozarks; U  
 Russ Dusek; I Weiss; MP  
 Jennifer Ferguson; Kito Group; MP  
 Tim Franklin; Theta Consulting LLC; G  
 James M. Garner; Walt Disney Company; G  
 Michael P. Gosenski; Rigging Systems LLC; U  
 Phillip R. Hampton; Charcoalblue; DE  
 Pete Happe; Pete Happe; G  
 Sean Harding; Port Lighting Systems; G  
 Chris Higgs; Total Solutions Group; G  
 Nick Holmberg; PSAV; DR  
 Donald Hoffend\_III; Avista Designs, LLC; G  
 Cedric Jackson; Screen Actors Guild - American Federation of Television & Radio Artists; G  
 Ted Jones; Grand Stage; U  
 Jerald Kraft; JTH Lighting Alliance; CP  
 Phillip Lewis; Tait Towers Manufacturing LLC; CP  
 Paul "Luke" Lewkowicz; Paul E Lewkowicz PC; DE  
 Jacob E. Loretto; Musson Theatrical Inc.; DR  
 Wendy Manson; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc.; U  
 Steven Michelman; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; CP  
 John Neal; Rhino Staging; G  
 Gregory Orth; WNP Services, Inc.; DR  
 Kurt Pragman; Pragman Associates, LLC; G  
 Mark Riddlesperger; LA ProPoint, Inc.; CP  
 Tim Salamon; PSAV Presentation Services; DR  
 Peter A. Scheu; Scheu Consulting Services, Inc.; DE  
 Ford Sellers; Chauvet Lighting; MP  
 Monica Skjonberg; Skjonberg Controls, Inc.; CP  
 Knut Skjonberg; Skjonberg Controls, Inc.; CP  
 John C. Snook; Thermotex Industries Inc.; CP  
 Lynn M. Snook; Thermotex Industries Inc.; CP  
 Todd Spencer; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Ross Taylor; Taylor & Taylor Associates; G  
 Stephen Vanciel; IATSE Local 631; U  
 Patrick Wallace; PSAV Presentation Services; U  
 Charlie Weiner; Eurotruss; MP  
 Drew Wending; PSAV Presentation Services; DR  
 Mike Weston; PSAV Presentation Services; DR  
 Greg Williams; PSAV Presentation Services; G  
 Jeong Sik Yoo; Ghost LX; DE  
 Andrew Young; Andrew Young; U

**Interest category codes:**

CP = custom-market producer    DE = designer  
 DR = dealer rental company      G = general interest  
 MP = mass-market producer      U = user

**Table of Contents**

Notice and Disclaimer.....	i
Investors in Innovation.....	iii
Contact Information.....	v
Acknowledgments.....	vi
Foreword.....	1
Reference standards organizations.....	2
1 Scope.....	3
1.1 General.....	3
1.2 Building Structures.....	3
1.3 Annex note references.....	3
1.4 Exclusions.....	3
1.5 Intent.....	3
1.6 Alternative designs.....	3
2 References.....	3
3 Definitions.....	4
4 Risk assessment and risk reduction.....	5
5 General design requirements.....	6
6 Mechanical design.....	7
6.1 General requirements.....	7
6.2 Power transmission components.....	7
6.3 Hoist frames and static load bearing components.....	8
7 Ancillary and tension load path components.....	9
7.1 Clew and guide systems.....	9
7.2 Lifting media.....	9
7.3 Blocks.....	10
7.4 Load carrying devices.....	10
7.5 Drive Augmentation * (See Annex note.).....	10
8 Basic functional, safety, and operational requirements.....	11
9 Labeling, marking, and signage.....	11
10 Installation.....	12
11 Inspection and testing.....	12
11.1 General requirements.....	12
11.2 Inspection procedures.....	12
11.3 Testing procedures.....	12
11.4 Frequency of inspections.....	13
11.5 Documentation.....	13
Annex A.....	14

**Foreword**

Prior to final approval of ANSI E1.4-2009, no American National Standard had addressed safety of counterweight rigging systems for the entertainment industry. The first documented recognition that safety standards were needed occurred in the early 1960's, when the United States Institute for Theatre Technology (USITT) established its Codes Commission to monitor and report on development of national codes that might be applicable to the entertainment industry. This commission eventually expanded its scope to include the Health & Safety subcommittee. By 1965 USITT had acknowledged that industry standards were necessary to ensure safety in the industry. The first formal, documented effort to accomplish this goal spawned from a Theatre Architecture Commission panel discussion at the 1980 USITT Conference in Kansas City, Missouri. In order to improve the level of safety and to establish a minimum standard for the manufacture of rigging equipment for use in the entertainment industry, the United States Institute for Theatre Technology, Inc. (USITT) established its Rigging and Stage Machinery Standards Committee, with the mission of creating a comprehensive set of standards for this purpose. To further this goal, sub-committees were established to write standards in several areas that combine to achieve a set of standards to fully describe the mechanical equipment used in theatres. This document is an evolution of work first started by separate sub-committees for Manual Counterweight Flying Systems and for Rope and Sandbag Flying Systems. The resulting efforts were combined to form the basis of this document.

It was originally intended that this document be accepted as a standard of USITT and that it ultimately become an American National Standard. In order for the latter to happen, the USITT draft document was turned over to ESTA's Technical Standards Program (now the ESTA Technical Standards Program). It has been further developed by the Rigging Working Group within that program. Members of the Rigging Working Group include appropriately qualified people who represent the broader industry of people who specify, manufacture, sell, and use this equipment, so that all interests are recognized and the standards represent a great depth of knowledge and experience in regards to the equipment.

In 2014, the RWG approved expansion of E1.4's scope, into a suite of four related standards, which are currently in development. This standard represents the 3rd installment in that set.

This document establishes minimum standards for equipment. However, the proper installation and operation of this equipment are equally important. Equipment shall be installed, operated and maintained under the supervision of a competent person. Further, the selection of the proper equipment for any application shall be entrusted only to experienced personnel with the proper knowledge and training to recognize and understand all of the hazards and functional requirements involved in the particular installation.

This standard represents equipment manufactured under the constraints of current technology. It is not intended to restrict further developments or enhancements. Revisions of this standard will be considered by the committee in the light of further advances in technology, changes in entertainment requirements, and operating practices. Future revisions will not imply that previous editions of the standard were inadequate. Nor is it the intention of this standard to suggest that equipment manufactured before the creation of this standard is inherently inadequate.

**Reference standards organizations**

The following standards organizations have developed specific standards documents that may pertain to certain normative requirements of this standard. It is not intended to identify all such organizations, or their respective standards, nor is it intended to imply that compliance with any such standard constitutes an exemption from any legal, jurisdictional, or OSHA-related safety requirements that may exist.

AISI	-	American Iron and Steel Institute, Inc.
ANSI	-	American National Standards Institute
ASME	-	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
AWS	-	American Welding Society
ESTA	-	Entertainment Services and Technology Association
IFI	-	Industrial Fasteners Institute
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
NACM	-	National Association of Chain Manufacturers
SAE	-	Society of Automotive Engineers

## 1 Scope

### 1.1 General

This standard applies to permanently installed, manually operated hoists used as part of rigging systems for raising, lowering, and suspension of scenery, properties, lighting, and similar loads. This standard establishes requirements for the design, manufacture, installation, inspection, and maintenance of manually operated hoist systems for lifting and suspension of loads for performance, presentation, and theatrical production.

### 1.2 Building Structures

This standard applies to the mechanical hoist and rigging hardware only, not to the structure from which it is supported. While not part of this standard, the ability of the building structure to support the intended loads shall be considered in the design and application of rigging systems.

### 1.3 Annex note references

This document uses annex notes to provide additional reference information about certain specific section requirements, concepts, or intent. Subject matter with a corresponding annex note reference is identified by the asterisk (\*) symbol and the text “See Annex note;” the associated reference text is found in the Annex A section, identified with the referring text section number, e.g. an Annex Note to section 3.2 will be identified in the annex section as A.3.2.

### 1.4 Exclusions

#### 1.4.1 Performer flying

This standard does not apply to performer flying, or to raising or lowering people.

#### 1.4.2 Powered rigging

This standard does not apply to any equipment used in permanently powered rigging systems.

#### 1.4.3 Other rigging

This standard does not apply to E1 Entertainment Technology equipment covered under the scope of other existing standards.

### 1.5 Intent

The purpose of this standard is to establish minimum performance requirements for manually operated hoists used in rigging systems. This standard establishes safeguards to public health, safety and general welfare with the intent to minimize hazards associated with Manually Operated Rigging Systems.

### 1.6 Alternative designs

This standard is not intended to prevent or limit alternative designs, materials, or technology. Alternative designs, materials or technology shall comply with the intent of this standard, and with the requirements of any other standard deemed applicable by a qualified person.

## 2 References

All equipment shall be manufactured and installed to comply with this standard and any applicable codes or jurisdictional regulations where the requirements of such codes or regulations are more stringent. The following documents are referenced. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document, including any amendments, shall apply.

ANSI E1.2-2012 Design, Manufacture and Use of Aluminum Trusses and Towers

ANSI E1.4-1-2016 Manual Counterweight Rigging Systems

ANSI E1.6-1-2019 Entertainment Technology--Powered Hoist Systems

ANSI Z535.1-2017, Safety Colors

ANSI Z535.2-2011 (R2017), Environmental and Facility Safety Signs

ANSI Z535.3-2011 (R2017), Criteria for Safety Symbols

ANSI Z535.4-2011 (R2017), Product Safety Signs and Labels

ANSI Z535.6-2011 (R2017), Product Safety Information in Product Manuals, Instructions and Other Collateral Materials

### 3 Definitions

**3.1 Batten:** A pipe, tube, or other singular structural shape that is secured to the lift lines for the purpose of connecting loads to the system.

**3.2 Block:** An assembly of one or more sheaves in a housing designed to support one or more lines to allow a change of direction.

**3.3 Characteristic load:** The maximum force applied to a component of a hoist system resulting from normal intended operating conditions while the system is at rest or in motion. This includes the apportioned fractions of the working load limit (WLL), self-weight including that due to load carrying devices and lifting media, and the forces due to inertia in normal use. \*(See Annex note.)

**3.4 Clew:** A device with multiple holes used to connect several lift lines into a haul line(s).

**3.5 Competent person:** A person who is capable of identifying existing and predictable hazards in the workplace, and who is authorized to take prompt corrective measures to eliminate them.

**3.6 Design factor:** (a) A ratio of the working load limit to the yield strength of a material or component; (b) A ratio of the working load limit to the ultimate strength of a material or component where the material does not plastically deform prior to failure. \*(See Annex note.)

**3.7 Drive augmentation:** Use of a non-integrated powered device as the prime mover to operate a hoist. (e.g., drill drive).

**3.8 Guide system:** Components and assemblies used to guide a clew, including components attached to the clew specifically for such purpose, and including clew travel- limiting components such as a stop.

**3.9 Hand winch:** See **3.18 Manually operated hoist.**

**3.10 Haul line(s):** The primary tension load path element connecting the hoist to the other rigging components.

**3.11 Hoist system:** See **3.15 Line set.**

**3.12 Installer:** The person or organization that is responsible for the installation of the rigging equipment.

**3.13 Lift line:** Any lifting media reeved through head blocks and loft blocks, and attached to a load. Lift lines operate singly, as spot lines, or in "sets" of several lift lines working together to support a load or a batten.

**3.14 Lifting medium:** the load-carrying element that is driven by the hoist to move the load (e.g. wire rope, roller chain).

**3.15 Limits of use:** the parameters under which the system is designed to operate (e.g. working load limit, speed of movement, duty cycle, environmental conditions, user skill level, availability of maintenance).

**3.16 Line set:** A system of one or more lift lines, operated together to raise, lower, or suspend a load; all of the mechanical, component subsystems required for supporting, positioning, and operating those lift lines as a system.

**3.17 Load carrying device:** the component(s) of the hoist system that connect a suspended load to the lifting media (e.g. batten, truss, and hook).

**3.18 Load securing device:** a mechanical device that prevents unintentional movement in the hoist system.

- 3.19 Manually operated hoist:** A hoist primarily intended and designed to be hand-operated.
- 3.20 Peak load:** The maximum force applied to a component of a hoist system, while the system is at rest or in motion, resulting from abnormal conditions or irregular operation. \*(See Annex note.)
- 3.21 Pile-on drum:** drum in which the individual lifting media are confined in separate winding chambers so that the lifting media winds in concentric layers.
- 3.22 Pitch diameter:** The diameter of a sheave or drum measured to the centerline of the rope for which it is designed.
- 3.23 Power transmission system:** the components within the hoist that create, transfer, support, or dissipate mechanical force and motion (e.g. gears, shafts, clutches, couplings, bearings, brakes).
- 3.24 Qualified person:** A person who by possession of a recognized degree or certificate of professional standing, or who by extensive knowledge, training, and experience, has successfully demonstrated the ability to solve or resolve problems relating to the subject matter and work.
- 3.25 Reeve:** To pass lifting media over the sheaves in a block or a system of blocks.
- 3.26 Reverse bend:** A condition where a line is reeved so that it bends in opposing directions, over two or more sheaves.
- 3.27 Rigging:** General term for arrangements of hardware and systems for the raising, lowering, and suspension of scenery, properties, lighting, and similar loads used in performance venues.
- 3.28 Risk:** combination of the probability of occurrence of harm and the severity of that harm.
- 3.29 Risk assessment (RA):** the process of identifying, evaluating, and quantifying the potentially hazardous conditions, severity, and probability of occurrence of harm.
- 3.30 Risk reduction (RR):** mitigation of risk created by hazardous conditions.
- 3.31 Shall:** A term used in this standard to indicate that an action is mandatory.
- 3.32 Should:** A term used in this standard to indicate that an action is recommended under most conditions, but is not mandatory
- 3.33 Static load:** The maximum force applied to a component of a hoist system resulting from normal intended operating conditions while the system is at rest. This includes the apportioned fractions of the working load limit (WLL) and self-weight, including that due to load carrying devices and lifting media. \*(See Annex note.)
- 3.34 Tension load path:** The path of tension-only load that follows the axis of the lifting media, including all connections and terminations along this path.
- 3.35 Ultimate load carrying capacity:** The maximum load an assembly may support without failure.
- 3.36 Wire guide:** Tensioned wire ropes used to guide the path of counterweight arbors or clews.
- 3.37 Working load limit:** The maximum static load the user may apply.

#### 4 Risk assessment and risk reduction

**4.1** Risk assessment and risk reduction (RA/RR) for a hoist or hoist system shall be performed throughout design, fabrication, installation, and testing. Risk assessment and risk reduction shall be performed when hoists or hoist systems are modified.

**4.2** Risk assessment and risk reduction shall be performed by a group of two or more competent persons. When a group of two or more competent persons are not available, completion of the risk assessment and risk reduction by one qualified person shall be permitted.

**4.3** The group or person performing the risk assessment and risk reduction shall determine the acceptable level of residual risk.

**4.4** The group or person conducting a risk assessment and risk reduction shall:

**4.4.1** Identify and document the limits of use;

**4.4.2** Identify and document the tasks anticipated throughout the life of the system;

**4.4.3** Identify and document the hazards associated with each task;

**4.4.4** Estimate the severity of harm associated with exposure to the hazard;

**4.4.5** Estimate the probability of occurrence of harm from the hazard;

**4.4.6** Identify the risk by considering the severity and probability of harm;

**4.4.7** Evaluate the risk associated with each hazard to determine if the risk is acceptable;

**4.4.8** Take measures to reduce unacceptable risks;

**4.4.9** Determine whether new or additional hazards have been introduced, or if the level of existing risks have been changed;

**4.4.10** Repeat this process until an acceptable level of residual risk is achieved; and

**4.4.11** Document the risk assessment and risk reduction including the mitigating actions taken for each hazard and the resulting reduction in risk.

## **5 General design requirements**

**5.1** Hoist systems shall be designed by qualified persons.

**5.2** Hoist systems shall incorporate all aspects of mechanical requirements herein unless otherwise determined by the risk assessment and risk reduction.

**5.3** Hoist systems shall be designed for use in the anticipated environmental and operating conditions. These conditions shall be included in the limits of use.

**5.4** All components of the system shall be used in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations.

**5.5** The distribution of loads in a multiple line hoist system, or between hoists in a multiple hoist system, shall be assessed.

**5.6** Variations caused by the uneven application of load, deflections of lifted objects, deflection of supporting structure and/or hoist system supports shall be assessed.



**5.7** Hoist systems shall be free from vibration that threatens the integrity or functionality of the hoist under normal operating conditions.

**5.8** Hoist systems shall be protected against uncontrolled speed and unintentional movements.

**5.9** Hoist systems shall be designed for anticipated duty cycles and product life.

**5.10** Where the supplier of any component or sub-assembly of the hoist system is not responsible for the entire hoist system, the system designer shall specify the safety requirements for the component or subassembly.

**5.11** Design of components not specifically referenced within this document shall be reviewed according to applicable standards. In the absence of an applicable standard, the designer shall apply generally accepted engineering principles.

**5.12** Design of the hoist shall anticipate a reasonable amount of force a user would be expected to exert upon the hoist input under characteristic loads as well as the maximum force that the user may exert as a peak load.

## **6 Mechanical design**

### **6.1 General requirements**

A qualified person shall determine or approve design factors for all equipment not included in the tension load path. Unless modified by other sections of this document, other codes listed, or governing bodies with jurisdiction over a particular facility, the factors listed in ANSI E1.4-1 - 2016 shall be used as a minimum performance guideline for tension load path equipment, unless a registered design professional determines that lower values are permitted.

**6.1.1** Unless specifically addressed herein, all manual rigging equipment shall comply with the relevant requirements of ANSI E1.4-1 - 2016 Manual Counterweight Rigging Systems. Component assemblies shall be designed, engineered, and manufactured to withstand all characteristic loads without permanent deformation or damage to components and shall meet the requirements of section 6.2.1 Design Factors.

**6.1.2** The hoist system shall be capable of moving the lifted load from a static condition and returning it to the static state, maintaining control throughout the operation.

**6.1.3** Characteristic and peak loads shall be considered in determining the loads applied to the building structure.  
\*(See Annex note.)

**6.1.4** All components shall resist unintentional loosening.

**6.1.5** Welding shall be in accordance with current American Welding Society standards.

### **6.2 Power transmission components**

#### **6.2.1 Design factors**

For power transmission components that have a manufacturer's recommended load rating, the characteristic load shall not exceed the load rating at a minimum Service Factor of 1.0. Power transmission components without manufacturer's load ratings shall be designed so that stresses do not exceed the following:

**6.2.1.1** Yield strength of the material shall be a minimum of 3X the shear stresses due to the characteristic load.

**6.2.1.2** Yield strength of the material shall be a minimum of 1.58X the bearing (contact) stresses due to the characteristic load.

**6.2.1.3** Ultimate load carrying capacity shall be minimum of 4X the static loading of any component.

**6.2.1.4** For power transmission components for which the manufacturer has recommended load ratings, the load shall not be released upon application of the peak load. Power transmission components without a manufacturer's peak load rating shall be selected such that the peak load is less than the yield strength of the component.

## **6.2.2 Load-securing devices**

**6.2.2.1** Hoists shall include at least two independently functioning load-securing devices.

**6.2.2.2** At least one of the load-securing devices shall have constant engagement or automatically engage when input force is removed.

**6.2.2.3** Each load-securing device shall be capable of holding 125% of the static load.

**6.2.2.4** A low back-driving efficiency gear reducer may be used in place of a load-securing device only when risk assessment and risk reduction mitigates hazards associated with descent of the load as a result of release or failure of the other load-securing device.

**6.2.2.5** It shall be possible to test the effectiveness of each load-securing device separately. Single use devices shall be acceptable if they have proven reliability based upon independently verified manufacturer testing.

**6.2.4** Winding drums\* (See Annex note.)

**6.2.4.1** Winding drums shall take up the media in a defined and repeatable manner.

**6.2.4.2** Winding drums shall be designed to take up the lifting media in a way as to not cause damage or undue wear to the lifting media.

**6.2.4.3** Pitch diameter of a winding drum shall not cause damage or undue wear to the lifting media.

**6.2.4.4** Drum material and construction shall resist tread pressures imposed by the lifting media.

**6.2.4.4.1** The maximum allowable fleet angle for a grooved drum shall be two (2) degrees from perpendicular. \* (See Annex note.)

**6.2.4.4.2** The maximum allowable fleet angle for a smooth drum shall be one and one half (1-1/2) degrees from perpendicular to the drum.

**6.2.4.4.3** Grooves on rope drums shall be sized as recommended to support the media and to prevent crushing.

**6.2.4.5** When pile-on drums are used, each wire rope shall have its own winding chamber to ensure the rope is layered in such a manner that the rope centerlines are aligned.\* (See Annex note.)

**6.2.4.6** The attachment of the lifting media to the drum shall have a strength equal to or greater than 1.33X the peak load. This shall be accomplished by end termination alone, or by including the friction from the minimum turns of lifting media on the drum.

**6.2.4.8** When a clamping method is used to attach lifting media to the drum, it shall be ensured that a single failure of the attachment method (e.g. screw) does not lead to the failure of the connection.\* (See Annex note.)

## **6.3 Hoist frames and static load bearing components**

**6.3.1** Hoist frames and static load bearing components shall be designed with a yield strength at least 2X the characteristic load. The ultimate load carrying capacity of the device shall be at least 3X the characteristic load

**6.3.2** Hoist frames and static load bearing components shall be designed with an ultimate load carrying capacity at least 2X the peak load.

**6.3.3** Deflection of load bearing components shall not be detrimental to hoist operation.

## **7 Ancillary and tension load path components.**

### **7.1 Clew and guide systems**

**7.1.1** Clews shall be used where multiple lift lines are connected to fewer haul lines.

**7.1.2** Clews shall be guided or restrained to prevent fouling and twisting of the lines during operation. Clew guides may be wire, or rigid members. Other clew guiding methods shall be permitted.\* (See Annex note.)

**7.1.2.1** Clew systems shall be designed to withstand anticipated loads.

**7.1.2.2** Clew systems may be oriented in any direction.

**7.1.2.3** Clew systems shall permit full intended travel of the batten or load.

**7.1.2.4** Guide attachments shall be located to permit clew travel without excessive deflection of the clew or its guides. A load-rated tension adjustment device shall be incorporated into the assembly, and shall be fixed in position after final adjustments are made.

**7.1.2.4.1** Wire guide attachment points shall be designed to accept the loads imposed by both tension in the guide wires and any loads due to sway of the clew during operation. A registered design professional shall evaluate anticipated guide wire loads to the existing structure.

**7.1.2.4.2** The minimum spacing between adjacent clews shall be such that clew system components cannot come into contact with each other under normal operating conditions.

### **7.2 Lifting media**

#### **7.2.1 General**

**7.2.1.1** Minimum tensile strength of lifting media shall be no less than the following:

- 5X the characteristic load.
- 5X the static load if hand operated.
- 8X the static load if using drive augmentation.
- 1.33X the peak load.

**7.2.1.2** The minimum tensile strength shall include termination efficiency and other applicable strength reduction factors.

**7.2.1.3** In multiple line hoist systems, lifting media shall have a method of length adjustment.

**7.2.1.4** Lifting media shall not contact any part of the building structure, adjacent systems, or other equipment not intended for contact.

**7.2.1.5** In cases where inspection is not possible, risk analysis and risk reduction shall address means to mitigate this additional risk.

#### **7.2.2 Lifting media terminations**

**7.2.2.1** Termination hardware shall be load rated and shall have a minimum tensile strength not less than 80% of the minimum tensile strength of the lifting media.

**7.2.2.2** Shackles, wire rope clips, eyebolts, eye nuts, and turnbuckles shall be of forged steel or equivalent construction. Malleable wire rope clips shall not be permitted.

**7.2.2.3** Turnbuckles shall be secured after adjustment to prevent turnbuckle body rotation.

**7.2.2.4** Screw pin shackles shall be secured to prevent pin rotation.

**7.2.2.5** Thimbles shall be sized in accordance with the wire rope diameter.

### **7.2.3 Wire rope**

**7.2.3.1** The grade and construction of wire rope shall be appropriate for the intended use.

**7.2.3.2** Anticipated duty cycle and detrimental conditions such as reverse bending shall be factored into the selection of wire rope.

### **7.2.4 Other lifting media**

Other lifting media shall be permitted provided the manufacturer approves it for this use.

### **7.3 Blocks**

Blocks shall conform to the requirements of ANSI E1.4-1 – 2016

### **7.4 Load carrying devices**

**7.4.1** Load carrying devices shall be selected so that the yield strength of the device is at least 2X times the characteristic load. Load carrying devices shall be selected so that the ultimate load carrying capacity of the device is at least 1.5X the characteristic load or the peak load.

**7.4.2** The maximum deflection of battens or their equivalents under the characteristic load shall not exceed 1/180 of the span distance between adjacent lift lines. Where there is not a specific load distribution pattern, the load shall be assumed to be uniformly distributed along the length of the batten.

**7.4.3** Aluminum trussing shall meet the requirements of ANSI E1.2-2012, and deflection shall be calculated based on the characteristic load designated in the system designer's limits of use. Forces generated by the calculated deflection shall not exceed the maximum allowable component forces.

### **7.5 Drive Augmentation \* (See Annex note.)**

**7.5.1** Drive augmentation of a hoist shall be temporary. Drive augmentation left in the load path shall be considered permanent and subsequently subject to ANSI E1.6.1-2019.

**7.5.2** Drive augmentation must be supported and resist counter torque solely by the operator. Augmentation using a torque arm or other means to mitigate the torque supported by the operator shall be subject to ANSI E1.6.1-2019.

**7.5.3** Operation of device shall require constant pressure on the switch. Trigger locks and other means of continuous operation shall not be used.

**7.5.4** The use of percussive devices, (e.g., hammer drills, impacts) shall be prohibited.

**7.5.4** Drive augmentation shall be used only with hoists explicitly designed, labeled and documented for use with augmentation.

**7.5.4.1** Drive augmentation shall comply with hoist manufacturers' recommendations including, but not limited to allowable speed, maximum input torque and duty cycle.

**7.5.5** Drive augmentation shall include a device to limit torque and/or duration transmitted to the hoists in accordance with the hoist manufacturers published rating. (e.g. drive clutch, thermal overload).

## **8 Basic functional, safety, and operational requirements**

**8.1 System manuals.** All rigging installations shall include an operations and maintenance manual (“systems manual”) for the system, describing its limits of use. All unique elements of the particular system shall be identified and documented. The systems manual shall include final print drawings, applicable maintenance requirements, servicing guidelines, and a listing of component working load limits. Manuals shall include inspection requirements.\* (See Annex note.)

**8.1.1** System manuals shall include a supplemental maintenance log providing a place to record inspections, modifications and repairs to the system, and identifying the person(s) performing such actions.

**8.1.2** System manuals shall be made available upon request. The operations portion of the system manual shall be readily accessible to all users of the system.

**8.1.3** The operation of the system shall be clearly described and include comprehensive operator instructions.

**8.2 Maintenance.** The maintenance section shall include recommendations for inspection, testing, and maintenance of the system.

**8.2.1** Systems shall be maintained under the supervision of a qualified person.

**8.2.2** Manually operated hoist systems shall be maintained in accordance with the System Manual.

**8.2.3** Replacement components and hardware shall be of equivalent grade or rating as the originals.

**8.2.4** Modifications or alterations shall be performed under supervision of a qualified person according to the provisions of this standard.

## **9 Labeling, marking, and signage**

**9.1** Labeling and signage shall comply with the requirements of the following standards, where such requirements can be implemented with rigging components, assemblies, and systems:

**9.1.1** ANSI Z535.1-2017, Safety Color Code

**9.1.2** ANSI Z535.2-2011 (R2017), Environmental and Facility Safety Sign

**9.1.3** ANSI Z535.3-2011 (R2017), Criteria for Safety Symbols

**9.1.4** ANSI Z535.4-2011 (R2017), Product Safety Signs and Labels

**9.2** The hoist shall have a label affixed indicating the manufacturer's working load limit.

**9.3** Hoist systems shall be marked with their working load limit.

**9.4** Signage or label(s) shall indicate both WLL point load and WLL uniformly distributed load (UDL) of the load-carrying device for each hoist system.

**9.5** The lifting media size and type shall be clearly indicated either by a label affixed to the hoist or a sign or label directing the maintenance personnel to the system manual.

**9.6** The manufacturer's name or grade reference mark shall be permanently displayed on hardware. Or, where permanent labeling or marking of individual components is impractical; the load, manufacturer, or grade reference information shall be indicated in the system manual.

**9.7** Signage shall be placed in clearly visible, accessible location(s).

**9.8** Signage shall state that operation of the hoist system shall be restricted to authorized persons.

**9.9** Signage shall list the contact information for the supplier of the system.

**9.10** System shall include “spiking” or marking of travel extents for easy identification by the operator.

## **10 Installation**

**10.1** Systems shall be installed under the supervision of a qualified person. All components shall be installed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.

**10.2** Manually operated hoists shall be installed to allow operation of the hoist from a stable and safe location.

## **11 Inspection and testing**

### **11.1 General requirements**

**11.1.1** Design and operating criteria of the rigging system shall be established or confirmed prior to inspection or testing.

**11.1.2** Inspection and testing shall verify that all system components and connections are present in the system, and that they comply with the design and operating criteria.

**11.1.3** Tests shall be non-destructive.

**11.1.4** The system designer's recommendations for inspection and maintenance shall be followed unless they are less stringent than the requirements herein.

**11.1.5** Inspection and testing shall be performed by a qualified person.

**11.1.6** Systems shall be inspected annually, or on a more frequent schedule, as determined by a qualified person.

**11.1.7** Systems shall be tested after installation, mishap, repair, or modification.

**11.1.8** Deficiencies discovered during inspection or testing shall be repaired under the supervision of a qualified person prior to returning the system to operation.

**11.1.9** Test failure shall result in corrections and retesting until the system passes the test.

### **11.2 Inspection procedures**

**11.2.1** Components of the hoist system shall be visually inspected for wear and damage.

**11.2.2** Each hoist or hoist system shall be operated through its full range of travel and speeds. Unusual noises, motions, or other issues shall be reported and resolved.

**11.2.3** All relevant inspection requirements shall comply with ANSI E1.47 – 2017.

### **11.3 Testing procedures**

**11.3.1** The hoist system shall be inspected.

**11.3.2** Each hoist or hoist system shall undergo a static load test at a minimum 1X the WLL.

**11.3.3** Any additional tests required by the designer or manufacturer shall be conducted.

#### **11.4 Frequency of inspections**

Installed systems shall be inspected annually or more frequently, as determined by a qualified person.

#### **11.5 Documentation**

**11.5.1** Inspection reports and test reports shall include the name of the inspector, the location of the equipment, and the date of the inspection. Reports shall be signed by the inspector.

**11.5.2** Test reports shall include documentation of the test procedures and the results of the tests.

**11.5.3** Inspection reports and test reports shall be placed in a system log.

**Annex A**

This annex contains informative notes that are not part of the normative requirements of the standard.

**A3.3****A3.6****A3.20****A3.33**

**A.6.1.3** Design calculations performed according to this standard are based on three basic loading conditions: static, characteristic and peak. The static load is that which occurs in a component while the system is in normal use but at rest. The characteristic load includes the static load but also any other forces that might occur during use such as inertial forces due to acceleration and those due to a moving or variable load on the batten. Finally, the peak load is the maximum load that can be reasonably anticipated to occur as a result of normal or abnormal conditions or irregular operation. All of these loads are apportioned to each component based on the hoist system geometry and the maximum loads defined in the limits of use.

It is not possible to foresee every type of peak load or situation in which a piece of equipment might be misused. It is incumbent on the designer to anticipate those situations which are either likely to occur or could be of such great consequence that the user must be protected. The peak load should include conditions where excessive force may be applied to the hoist such as where the lift line load is caught on adjacent obstructions or otherwise overloaded. Peak loads for hoists used with drive augmentation shall also consider potential overloads resulting from the stalling of the drive.

Design factors applied to the static and characteristic loads are intended to be large enough to result in equipment that performs well throughout the product life just as long as it is operated within the limits of use. Design factors applied to the peak load are smaller by comparison and reflect the philosophy that although the machine is not intended to move those larger, atypical loads on a routine basis, it is intended that such an overload does not result in a failure that would allow the load to fall.

**A.6.1.4** Since the general definition of ductile refers to a material's ability to be drawn out into a wire, the standard elaborates further by requiring plastic deformation. Another way to relate this concept is by comparison of the material's yield point to its ultimate tensile strength. Materials having non-ductile properties, and that do not deform plastically without fracturing have either a yield point very close to its ultimate tensile strength, or have no yield point value because the yield point is, essentially, equal to its ultimate tensile strength. Acceptable materials will give a clear indication of failure, by first deforming within its plastic range, before ultimate failure actually occurs.

**A.6.1.5** Torque values for threaded connections vary based upon the materials, type of connection, and the predominant forces to which the connection is subjected (e.g. tensile, shear, etc.). Often, a connection will not require a specified torque value, while in some cases a minimum torque value is critical in order to achieve and maintain the full connection strength. Each type of connection and application should be evaluated by a registered design professional in order to determine a) if a minimum torque value is applicable, b) if applicable the actual torque value or range, and c) the correct method for obtaining the specified torque, where required. The use of flat washers is also relevant to minimum torque values. Where no minimum torque values are specified, the use of flat washers is probably not important. Conversely, any time minimum torque values must be achieved, or in applications where slotted or elongated holes are part of the connection, consideration should be given to the use of hardened flat washers as part of the appropriate connection method.

**A.6.2.1.3** A distinction is made between components that are rated based on endurance and specified using service factors, e.g. gear reducers and couplings, and those for which strength is the basis of design such as keys and shafts.


**A.6.2.4** It is anticipated that the ratio of the drum diameter to that of the wire rope ( $D/d$ ) will have an effect on the static breaking strength of the rope. The  $D/d$  ratio is more likely to have a significant effect on the rate at which the rope fatigues during use and the rate at which the drum or sheave material is eroded by the action of the rope bearing on the groove. Smaller diameter drums tend to increase the stresses in the rope and the "tread pressure" of the rope bearing on the groove. This tends to shorten the life of those components.



References such as the Wire Rope User's Manual<sup>1</sup> may serve as a guide to dimensions such as the radius and depth of the groove that supports the rope. Although valuable as a guide, recommendations within that text are based on specific types of rope constructions or drum materials, and many of the materials in common use within the entertainment industry are not addressed. While making recommendations for D/d ratios that result in the maximum service life for certain types of wire rope, the Wire Rope User's Manual acknowledges that those same recommendations are not adhered to in other industrial hoisting standards. Factors such as frequency of use, service life requirements, and the requirements for mechanical efficiency can vary greatly even between different types of machines in the same venue. These factors should be considered when D/d ratios are specified.

The introduction of new types of wire ropes and materials for drums is not uncommon, and it is not the intention of this document to limit their use by imposing restrictions made necessary by those materials most common at the time of writing. In all cases the application of a new material must be consistent with the recommendations of its manufacturer. The manufacturer is often the best source for recommendations concerning design.

**A6.2.4.4.1** In all sheave instances, defining fleet angle as a measurement against a line drawn perpendicular to the rotational axis is valid and accurate as shown in Figure 1a below. For helically grooved drums it is more accurate to measure fleet angle relative to the helix angle of the groove. This is indicated with a center line of a groove in Figure 1b below. In traditional drum applications and in situations with great distances between drum and sheave the difference is negligible. In some instances, typically with smaller diameter drums, calculation of the maximum fleet angle may be modified to take the helix angle into account. The actual fleet angle may be calculated by adding or subtracting the helix angle from the initially calculated fleet angle. For additional information see ISO 4308-1 2003 Cranes and Lifting Appliances and/or the US Navy Wire Rope Handbook Vol 1.



**Figure 1**

**A.6.2.4.5.7** Pile-on drums should be used with caution. As the lifting media winds on to the drum the pitch diameter is increased with each layer, resulting in increased media speed and reduced load capability. The pitch diameter is affected by factors such as the clearance between the lifting media and the drum side plates, and by the crushing, stretching, or distortion of the lifting media. Synchronizing multiple lift lines may be especially difficult.

**A6.2.4.8** This system of cable attachment refers to cables that are attached to the surface of the drum without the wire rope penetrating the drum shell or rim.

**A.7.1.2** Dual haul line systems with no additional guide system may be used as a method to restrain rotation of the clew with no additional guide system.

<sup>1</sup>

*Wire Rope User's Manual*. Alexandria, VA: Wire Rope Technical Board

**A.7.5** The use of a drill drive to augment operation of a manually-operated hoist is a common practice. Use of devices such as drill drives to temporarily augment manual operation is covered within Section 7.5, although drill drives and other means of augmentation themselves are not covered by this standard. Care must be taken as this provides a means to motorize a hoist, but it does not necessarily, and in most cases does not meet the requirements of E1.6.1-2019 for motorized hoists. Drill drives should only be used on hoist that have been designed for and identified by the manufacture for use with a drill. Furthermore, drill performance requirements provided by the hoist manufacturer must be followed. While introduced in Section 7.5, a complete list of all potential risks, designs, situations and characteristics is beyond the scope of this standard and should be evaluated per application by a qualified person.

**A.8.1** Users of the system should read and thoroughly understand the information contained in the systems manual. Knowledge of the system-specific load capacities, operating instructions, and maintenance schedules are important to establishing safe operating practices.